

progres result

by Mardi Aman

Submission date: 18-Jul-2021 02:58AM (UTC-0700)

Submission ID: 1620956507

File name: CIVILL_Edward_english_turnitin.docx (320.59K)

Word count: 2949

Character count: 15133



Available online at
<https://jurnalteknik.unisla.ac.id/index.php/CVL>

 <https://doi.org/10.30736/cvl.v2i2>



Study of Progress Expected Results Based on Percentage of Construction Work Plan Duration

Mardiaman^{1*}, Edward Kusuma¹²

¹Faculty of Engineering

²Faculty of Engineering.

Email: ^{1*}mardi240967@gmail.com. ^{2*}second author.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History :
Article entry :
Article revised :
Article received :

Keywords :
progress, expected value, plan
time duration waktu, construction
work

1
IEEE Style in citing this article :
[Heading citation]
One, N. P., & Second, N. P.
(Year). Article Title.
Civilla : Universitas Islam
Lamongan, v(n), Start page – End
page. [heading citation contents]

ABSTRACT

Abstract. Controlling how construction work is completed is critical to success. Generally, the result value method is used as the tool. Although this method has been applied to a variety of different types of construction work, the tool has been limited to a single project. This study examines the total value of completed construction work. Because the duration of construction work varies, the researchers refer to the percentage of the plan's duration. The percentages are set at 25%, 30%, and 50%. There have been 17 completed building construction projects between 2017 and 2018. Additionally, a comparison sample of construction work is used. The results of the data processing are pessimistic, most likely, optimistic, and hopeful. Additionally, the expected value is compared to a reference value. The expected values for the percentages of 25%, 30%, and 50% are (-2.425), (1.071), and 50%, respectively (2.275). Indeed, the expected value obtained is not the same as the comparison value. The contractor can prepare the necessary resources by knowing the value of the expected yield at a certain percentage of the duration.

1. Introduction

The degree of uncertainty associated with the execution of construction work is extremely high. The contractor completes the construction work in accordance with the schedule. It is established that there is a difference in the value of schedule and cost deviations [1]. The variation in result values is caused by the reduction of both actual and planned progress. Positive values indicate superior performance, and negative values indicate inferior performance. A positive cost deviation indicates profit, while a negative cost deviation indicates cost overrun. The factors that contribute to delays have received considerable attention [2];[3]. Meanwhile, schedule deviations that are negative result in delays. Factors that contribute to delay [4];[5].

In construction practice, the value of the results is evaluated weekly and documented in a weekly report. Cost and time are two variables that affect progress. Cost and time can be managed in unison[6]. Knowing the yield value at a particular point in time will assist in completing the remainder of the construction work [6].

Numerous construction projects have been evaluated using the yield value method [7],[8]. [12]; [9]; [10]; [11]. However, yield value research is typically limited to a single construction job. The purpose of this study is to thoroughly examine the value of the results from 17 building construction projects with varying plan durations. Due to the fact that the duration of each construction work varies, the same reference must be made based on the percentage of the planned time duration of each construction work.

When construction work is just getting started, the yield value's deviation is still zero. However, once construction work has been completed for a specified period of time, time and cost variations are common. Additionally, at the conclusion of a large project, the yield value's deviation becomes zero. This occurs because the work must be completed completely at the conclusion of the contract and handed over. The purpose of this study is to determine the value of actual and planned deviations based on the percentage of the work's duration that is completed at a rate of 25%. 30% and 50% of the plan's duration, respectively. The magnitude of the deviation between the result values and the target time duration will be determined for each percentage of the target time duration. Because construction work involves a great deal of uncertainty, the expected value is determined using the three values above: pessimistic, most likely, and optimistic.

This research assists contractors in determining the value of progress results at 25%, 30%, and 50% of the planned duration of the construction work, so that the contractor can prepare the necessary resources and take corrective action. .

2. Review of the theory

2.1 Control of Construction Work

Numerous variables have an effect on the performance of construction work[13]. Controlling the construction process entails monitoring all resources and site arrangements. Control must be exercised over activities that follow critical paths that are interdependent. Begin monitoring at the initial critical activity to ensure that corrective action is taken as soon as possible [12]. Knowing the value of the results and communicating them in weekly meetings will assist in making quick decisions. By comparing actual and planned performance, the result value is determined. Controlling factors include the following: 1) Time-related factors, 2) Performance-related factors, and 3) Cost-related factors.

Control is accomplished by examining the S curve of work progress as reported in weekly meetings. The causes of work progress non-conformance are identified. Acceleration efforts are made by optimizing resource allocation and supervision.

2.2. Cost of Construction

Costs associated with construction include wages, materials, equipment, and interest on capital. The cost of construction work is determined by a variety of variables. Price is affected by the location factor [14]. The percentage of resource costs varies according to project [15]. Calculation of construction costs through the use of various models, parametric models [16]. The method of integrated time and cost management will generate the following cost versus time matrix: Cost overruns and schedule delays are indicators of poor project management.

2.3 Protracted duration of the project

The factors that contribute to the delay have been identified [17]. Numerous factors contribute to delays in the execution of construction work, including reporting [6] and ranking the factors that contribute to delays [18]. Cost overruns occur as a result of project delays [19]; [20]; [21]; [2]. Delay has a detrimental effect [22]. The delay's cause has been determined [23]; [24]

2.4. The Concept of Earned Value

The value parameter (planned value) and the value obtained (actual value) can be used to determine the progress of the work [25]. Yield value analysis and control is a powerful technique for analyzing and controlling project performance, as well as acting as a warning sign. The graph S curve and schedule table both illustrate this warning sign [26]. Monitoring time and cost with the help of yield values is advantageous [27]. Yield value management has been successfully implemented in a variety of sectors of the construction industry, including construction projects [7], residential buildings [28], and commercial buildings [29]. Efficient and well-known for project management, as a method for managing time differently [30]. Value for results is a concept that refers to a system that can integrate time and cost data. However, this method has a weakness in that its sensitivity is high enough that it can be used in conjunction with another method. [31] The results were valued according to their control over time, cost, and project scope [32]. Six parameters are used in the result value, including 1) the estimate at completion, 2) the completed budget, 3) the schedule performance index, 4) the cost performance index, 5) the schedule variance, and 6) the cost variance.

Progress value = actual-planned progress value (1)


2.5. Expected Rate of Progress

It is impossible to predict the cost, duration, and progress of construction work. The contractor charges the project owner for the progress of the work. This uncertainty is quantifiable in

terms of probability, allowing for pessimistic, most likely, and optimistic values. Progress is measured by the cumulative weight of work accomplishments at a given point in time.

$$\text{Activity weight} = \frac{X_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} \times 100\% \quad (2)$$

The expected value approach assumes that the expected value for the yield value depends on the three-digit estimate.



$$t_e = (a + 4m + b)/6$$

(3)

a = minimum yield value

m – most likely result value

b = maximum yield value

t_e = expected result value

3. Methodology of Research

Seventeen data points pertaining to the earned value of completed construction work from March to June 2021. Construction work plans typically last between 24 and 55 weeks. To determine the value of the deviation, a sample of construction work with a planned duration of 13 weeks is used as a comparison.

Due to the fact that the duration of the plan for each construction work varies, one approach is to make the same reference based on the percentage of the duration of the construction plan, which is 25%, 30%, or 50%. The deviation value was 25% x 24 weeks = six weeks for the duration of the construction plan, which was 24 weeks. Thus, week six serves as a review. Similarly, all construction projects are treated as such.

Additionally, the following steps calculate the value of the anticipated job results.:

- Calculate the weekly deviation in progress for all construction projects.
- Calculate the mean, median, and maximum deviations for all construction projects.
- Calculating the construction work's expected progress
- The expected deviation value is progressing according to the formula:

$$te = (a + 4m + b)(1/6)$$

Where:

- a = optimistic progress
- b = pessimistic progress
- m = most likely progress

- Calculate the deviation in progress during the activity for 25% of the plan's duration.
- Calculate the deviation in progress when the activity lasts 30% of the time planned.
- Calculate the progress deviation when the activity is carried out for 50% of the planned duration.




Figure 1 . Percentage of construction work plan duration
 Furthermore, the expected value is tested on other construction work, which is used as a comparison.
 The comparison project is a school building with a planned duration of 13 weeks.




Figure 2. Comparative Construction Works

4 Conclusions and Discussion

4.1. Result

The value of the progress deviation for each of the seventeen construction projects can be displayed (table 1). These values are calculated as the difference between the field's actual and planned values. The results have a different monetary value for each reporting period. The construction work schedule typically lasts between 21 and 55 weeks. Additionally, a 13-week comparison project was conducted for the campus dormitory building.

As shown in Table 2, the value of progress obtained when the duration of the planned period is 25% of the actual duration is pessimistic (-12.23), most likely (-0.915), and optimistic (1.173), the expected value (-2.425). Additionally, the predicted value is compared to a comparison using the same reference, specifically when the plan duration is 25%. The benchmark time then becomes the 3.25th week. (rounded up to the nearest three) and the resulting value is 0.220.

At 30% of the duration, the deviation of the progress results in pessimistic values (-11.77), most likely (-0.189), and optimistic (6.104), expected values (1.071). When compared to the deviation value in the comparison project, which occurred in 30% of the 13 weeks that fell on the 3.9 week, rounded up to four, the variation was 0.34.

At 30% of the duration, the deviation of the progress results in pessimistic (-4.102), most likely (-0.095), and optimistic (6.104) expected values (2.275). In comparison to the deviation value in the comparison project, which was 10.85, which occurred at 50% of the 13 weeks that fell on the 3.9 week, rounded up to 7.

4.2. Discussion

The data analysis results indicate that the expected value tends to increase as the duration of the starting time is increased by 25% (-2.425), 30% by (1.071), and 50% by (2.275). Initially, the deviation value was negative due to field constraints, such as a lack of parallel work, but there was still a match between the plans and implementation drawings. With increased time and equipment productivity, workers can perform more efficiently. Jobs on a critical path receive critical attention.

The finished construction work will never be identical in terms of time, cost, or quality. The data analysis confirmed the outcomes of the 17 construction projects listed above. Additionally, previous research has established this [1]; [33]; [10]; [34]; [35]. Numerous factors contribute to the variance in the values of the results [36]. From the perspective of contractors and consultants, a contributing factor is the difficulty of making payments. Payment difficulties disrupt the procurement of resources, including material, labor, and equipment. The cost of acquiring construction resources varies by construction type [15] and location [14]. Consistency is required when allocating costs according to the prepared schedule. The contractor's financial performance is the primary factor in determining the tender winner's appointment [37].

Article Title

<https://doi.org/10.30736/cv1.v2i2>

Table 1. Progress Values for 17 Construction Projects

Minggu	Progress Values for 17 Construction Projects																
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1	0,3	0,3	0,1	0,03	0,152	0,03	-0,055	0,03	-0,055	0,03	0,08	0,02	0,02	0,2	0,05	0,03	-0,346
2	0,375	0,375	0	0,06	-0,466	-0,14	-0,784	-0,14	-0,784	0,06	-0,083	0,04	0,04	0,4	0,1	0,06	-0,899
3	0,675	0,675	-0,2	0,09	-0,538	-0,11	-2,419	-0,11	-2,419	0,09	-0,246	0,06	-0,94	0,6	0,15	0,09	-2,945
4	0,975	0,975	-0,3	0,12	-0,209	-0,08	-3,914	-0,08	-3,914	0,12	-0,278	-0,92	-1,92	0,8	0,2	0,12	-2,936
5	1,275	1,275	-0,3	0,15	-0,29	-0,05	-4,8	-0,05	-4,8	0,15	0,135	-0,9	-1,9	1	0,25	0,15	-2,9
6	1,173	1,173	0,2	0,18	-0,97	-0,12	-4,681	-0,12	-4,681	0,08	0,545	-1,28	-1,88	1,2	0,3	0,18	-1,087
7	1,029	1,029	0,6	0,21	-1,051	-0,09	1,002	-0,09	1,002	-0,89	-2,111	-0,76	-0,86	0,8	0,35	0,21	-0,973
8	0,515	0,515	0,7	0,24	-1,531	0,54	6,104	-0,46	6,104	-0,96	-6,245	-0,04	-2,84	0,4	0,4	-0,56	-0,651
9	-0,12	-0,12	1,2	0,27	-2,189	0,57	4,973	-0,73	4,973	-0,93	-9,54	-0,02	0,18	0	0,45	-0,53	0,782
10	-2,085	-2,085	1,7	0,3	-2,913	0,6	4,254	-0,7	4,254	-0,9	-12,229	0	0,2	-0,4	-0,3	-0,5	1,390
11	-2,572	-2,572	1,2	0,33	-2,45	0,63	2,073	-0,67	2,073	-0,87	-11,344	0,02	0,22	-0,8	-0,25	-0,47	2,447
12	-3,418	-3,418	0,7	0,36	-2,486	0,66	2,073	-0,64	2,073	-0,84	-11,773	-0,66	0,24	0	-0,2	-1,44	0,795
13	-0,517	-0,517	0,2	0,39	-2,088	0,49	2,103	-0,81	2,103	-1,01	-14,428	-0,64	0,26	-0,3	-0,15	-0,41	0,092
14	-0,217	-0,217	-0,3	0,42	-1,291	-0,08	2,133	-0,38	2,133	-1,58	-15,521	-0,62	0,28	-0,7	-0,1	-0,38	0
15	0,083	0,083	-0,8	0,35	-1,195	-0,05	2,163	-0,35	2,163	-1,55	-12,008	-1,6	0,3	-0,2	-0,05	0,65	0,581
16	0,383	0,383	-1,3	0,38	-0,1	-0,02	2,193	-0,32	2,193	-1,52	-11,504	-1,58	0,32	0,8	0	0,68	0,303
17	0,458	0,458	-1,8	0,41	1,247	0,01	2,223	0,31	2,223	-1,490	-9,01	-0,66	-5,66	0,3	0,05	0,71	0,917
18	0,581	0,581	-2,3	0,44	2,097	0,04	2,253	0,34	2,253	-1,460	-5,66	-0,64	-5,64	0	0,1	-0,26	2,56
19	-0,076	-0,076	-2,8	0,37	2,542	0,07	2,283	0,37	2,283	-0,43	-5,209	-0,62	-6,62	0,15	-0,23	0,828	
20	0,224	0,224	-3,3	0,3	2,149	0,1	2,313	0,4	2,313	0,6	-4,102	0,4	-6,6	0,2	-0,2	1,028	
21	0,524	0,524	-2,8	0,13	1,978	0,13	2,343	0,43	2,343	0,63	-3,401	0,42	-7,58	-6,75	0,83	0,084	
22	0,797	0,797	-2,3	0,06	2,725	0,16	2,273	0,46	2,273	0,66	-2,22	0,04	-7,56	-6,7	0,86	2,411	
23	0,404	0,404	-2,6	0,09	2,31	0,19	2,103	0,49	2,103	0,69	-1,854	0,06	-7,54	-6,65	0,89	0,630	
24	0	0	-2,1	0,12	1,608	-0,084	2,133	0,216	2,133	-0,584	0,673	0,08	-8,52	-7,5	0,92	0,152	
25	-1,6	-1,6	0,15	0,966	-0,054	0,763	0,246	0,763	-1,554	2,121	-2,5	-9,5	-9,5	-7,35	0,53	0	
26	-1,1	-1,1	0,08	1,11	-0,424	0,196	-0,12	0,196	-1,924	3,53	-4,48	-8,896	-6,3	-6,3	0,28		
	-0,6	-0,6	0	0,541	-0,394	0	-0,09	0	-1,894	4,506	-4,46	-8,876	-6,25	-6,25	0,31		
	-0,1	-0,1	1,481	0			0		0	5,499	-5,44	-8,856	-6,1	-6,1	0,34		
	0,4	0,4	1,731							5,615	-6,42	-8,836	-6,05	-6,05	0		
	0,9	0,9	1,865							3,91	-7,4	0	-6,2	-6,2			
	1,4	1,4	2,182							2,974	-8,38		-6,25	-6,25			
	1,9	1,9	2,427							2,599	-9,36		-7,2	-7,2			
	-0,6	-0,6	1,272							2,721	-9,44		-7	-7			

2.9	1,844	2,208	-9,42	0													
3.4	1,549	1,553	-9,4														
3.9	0,249	1,275	-8,68														
4.4	0,09	0,801	-8,56														
4.9	-0,408	0,557	-8,64														
4.6	-1,138	0	-8,62														
4,107	-0,83		-8,64														
3,727	-0,111		-8,76														
3,346	-0,001		-8,74														
2,966	0		-8,72														
2,585			0														
2,348																	
2,568																	
2,788																	
3,008																	
3,228																	
3,448																	
3,668																	
2,815																	
1,807																	
0,7																	
0																	
Durasi (D)	24	24	27	28	27	26	27	26	39	44	30	18	34	29	21		
25%* D	6	6	14	7	11	7	7	7	10	11	8	5	9	7	5		
30%*D	7	7	17	8	13	8	8	8	12	13	9	6	10	9	6		
50% D	12	12	28	14	22	14	14	14	20	22	15	9	17	15	11		
Sim(25%* D)	1,173	1,173	-0,3	0,21	-2,45	-0,09	1,002	-0,09	1,002	-0,89	-12,229	0,02	-2,84	1	0,45	0,21	-2,9
Sim(30%* D)	1,029	1,029	-1,8	0,24	-2,088	0,54	6,104	-0,46	6,104	-0,96	-11,773	-0,64	0,18	1,2	-0,3	-0,53	-1,087
Sim(50%* D)	-3,418	-3,418	-0,1	0,42	2,725	-0,08	2,133	-0,38	2,133	-1,01	-4,102	0,04	0,3	0	0,05	0,65	2,447

Table 2. Expecsed Value Progress 17 Construction Works

Progres	Pesimistik	mostlikely	optimistik	Epected
Sim(25%* D)	-12,23	-0,915	1,173	-2,452
Sim(30%* D)	-11,77	-0,189	6,104	-1,071
Sim(50%* D)	-4,102	-0,095	2,725	-0,293

Source: processed data

5. Conclusion and Suggestion

5.1 Conclusion

- The deviation value varies according to the completed construction work. The increase in the value of the variation in the positive direction occurs concurrently with a 25% increase in the percentage of duration starting time (-2.425), a 30% increase in the percentage of duration starting time (1.071), and a 50% increase in the percentage of duration starting time (-2.425). (2.275).
- The increase in the positive deviation value is due to the fact that much work can be done concurrently by optimizing human resources and equipment.

5.2 Suggestion

This research still has numerous shortcomings and should be redeveloped. The increase in the number of construction projects of nearly identical type and size requires investigation. As a result, the problem-solving approach is more focused. .

progres result

ORIGINALITY REPORT

8%

SIMILARITY INDEX

5%

INTERNET SOURCES

0%

PUBLICATIONS

7%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

Submitted to Universitas Islam Lamongan

Student Paper

7%

2

jurnalteknik.unisla.ac.id

Internet Source

1%

3

Surendranath Rakesh Jory, Tapas Mishra, Thanh N. Ngo. "Location-specific stock market indices: an exploration", The European Journal of Finance, 2018

Publication

<1%

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography Off